		4
1	MS. BARON:	
2	John Poteet?	
3	MR. POTEET:	
4	Here.	
5	MS. BARON:	
6	Dino Taylor?	
7	MR. TAYLOR:	
8	Here.	
9	MS. BARON:	
10	Tony Cormier?	
11	MR. CORMIER:	
12	(No response.)	
13	MS. BARON:	
14	Ron Duplessis?	
15	MR. DUPLESSIS:	
16	Here.	
17	MS. BARON:	
18	George Floyd?	
19	MR. FLOYD:	
20	Here.	
21	MS. BARON:	
22	Darty Smith?	
23	MR. SMITH:	
24	Here.	
25	MS. BARON:	

1 public comments today? 2 MS. BARON: 3 No, sir. 4 MR. OLAVE: 5 I have a comment if you don't mind, Mr. Chairman. I would just like to 6 take a second to acknowledge. You know, I've been around the Commission for quite 8 9 sometime and one of the things I think that's made this Commission successful is 10 11 the consistency of the staff and the work 12 that they do. And I'd like a second to 13 acknowledge Ms. Kim in a public forum for 14 the incredible job that she's done and the consistency that she's provided the 15 16 Commission for a lot of years, as long as 17 I've been around anyway. So I just wanted 18 to take a second to acknowledge that. So 19 thank you so much. 20 MS. BARON: 21 Well, thank you. 22 MR. POTEET: 23 Thank you for saying that, Steve. 24 MR. OLAVE:

That goes for the entire staff

1	and I'm just acknowledging the leadership
2	that she provides.
3	MR. POTEET:
4	I appreciate that.
5	All right. We have minutes from
6	two meetings to approve. I don't see why we
7	can't approve those together.
8	Do we have a motion?
9	MR. OLAVE:
10	I make a motion, Mr. Chairman.
11	MR. SMITH:
12	Second.
13	MR. POTEET:
14	Second from Darty.
15	All in favor, say, "Aye."
16	(All "Aye" responses.)
17	MR. POTEET:
18	Any opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. POTEET:
21	The motion passes. Those are
22	adopted.
23	The first thing up is financial
24	matters and we've got a couple of reports,
25	plus the legislative audit. We're ready to

get going. We're going to do the audit first. Mr. McKowen.

MR. McKOWEN:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Okay.

I think everybody got a copy of the audit report. I did audit your statements as of June 30th of this year. If you flip to page 1, that's right after the table of contents. I'm going to tell you again this year, I tell you every year, paragraph 2 says that the financial statements are the responsibility of management. My responsibility is to offer an opinion as to whether I think they're fairly presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. So on page 2, I say, yes, in my opinion, they are.

Flip over to page 5, that's management discussion and analysis. It really just goes over the same numbers that we're going to look at in just a second. So we'll skip over that, but I'm just pointing out the different sections of the report. These are all required pages.

So if we look on page 11, that's

your statement of net position. That's your balance sheet. Up at the top, we're showing cash and equivalent. That's your checking account, 2 million 4. You are earning 1.2 percent interest on that. So that's about as good as you're going to get with anything else right now anyway. You do have CDs right at a half million dollars. You've got accounts receivable. So we've got 120,000.

We set up an allowance a couple years ago for receivables that don't look like -- these are from the fines and there's a certain percentage of them that each year look like they're never going to be collected. So we set up an allowance account just to net out what we really think is going to be received in the future.

(Tony Cormier enters the room.)

MR. McKOWEN:

So the net number is 72,000. So you've got a little prepaid expense. I think that's insurance of 4,000. And then net capital assets, that's this building, the parking lot, and so forth and that is 160,000. So you've got a -- you've got

total assets of about 3 million 1.

Moving on, you've got deferred outflows of resources. That's related to all this pension business that they made us start acknowledging a couple of years ago. That's 438,000 this year. Current liabilities, 425,000. Most of that is your unearned revenue. That's the second year of your two year licensing cycle. That's like on deposit until you earn it in the second year.

Long-term liabilities, you've got compensated absences. That's vacation time and other post-employment benefits. That's future health insurance premiums that you're going to have to pay on behalf of retired employees. And then we get to the pension liability itself. This year, I think this is a good number. It's right over 2 million dollars.

As we discussed last year, some of you may or may not remember, we thought the number was a little high. In fact, Mona went back to LASERS, the state retirement system, and she found out that, in fact,

they did make an error. They used a bad percentage last year. So we have restated net assets and net position as of last year and we'll look at those on the next page. Total net position, that's basically your equity, 220,000.

On page 12, your revenues for the year, we're just under one and a half million dollars. Expenses, about a million 3. And your operating income was 137,000. You also had the interest income of about 20,000 and you had a little loss when you got rid of a computer. So your net change for the year was 158,000 to the good.

So we restated your -- the beginning net position to account for the bad pension number that they were giving us last year -- or LASERS was giving us last year. So you actually had a positive net position at the end of last year. Whereas, before, we were showing a negative net position. So that's definitely an improvement at the end of this year with 218,000 in net position.

Statement of cash flow, that just

tries -- attempts to tell you how your cash went up by \$464,000 during the year. A big part of that is -- 225 of it was their error on the pension. Next comes the notes. thing we look at every year. I don't know if I'm going to go through everything. the last -- second to last note, note 14 on page 33 talks about the prior computer adjustment and more required information. Page 35 is the budget comparison. looked good. Page 36 is more pension disclosures. Page 39 discloses your per Page 40 discloses Derek's salary and benefits. Page 41 is my report on internal control, no findings there.

And then, finally, there's a new section. The legislative auditor is no longer happy and just an audit. They've got additional procedures that they want me to do and it takes me an extra two days. So next year, the fee goes up if y'all want me to do it. Maybe you'll find somebody else who's willing to do it for free. But, Mr. Chairman, that's my report.

MR. POTEET:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	All right. Regarding doing it
2	for free, I think most things are worth what
3	you pay for them. So I don't know if we
4	want it done free.
5	Does anybody have any questions
6	for Mr. McKowen?
7	MR. TAYLOR:
8	Just one. What was that actual
9	dollar amount of the pensions the
10	adjustment, what was that?
11	MR. McKOWEN:
12	Well, you've got deferred
13	outflows. You've got deferred inflows. The
14	pension number was a million
15	MR. TAYLOR:
16	A million 1.73, is that it?
17	MR. McKOWEN:
18	Yes.
19	MR. TAYLOR:
20	Okay.
21	MR. McKOWEN:
22	Yes. But then you've got
23	deferred outflows, which is kind of, sort of
24	an asset of 800,000. So it was 429,000 net.
25	MR. TAYLOR:

1	Okay. So bottom line 429,000?
2	MR. McKOWEN:
3	Right.
4	MR. TAYLOR:
5	Okay.
6	MR. McKOWEN:
7	Right, is what your net position,
8	your equity, in the Commission went up by
9	after we made the adjustment.
10	MR. TAYLOR:
11	Okay.
12	MR. DONNELL:
13	Thank you, Ms. Mona, for finding
14	that.
15	MS. ANDERSON:
16	Well, actually, John is the one
17	that pointed out that it was a lot higher
18	than other agencies.
19	MR. DONNELL:
20	Yes. I remember that last year.
21	MS. ANDERSON:
22	And when we looked into it on
23	their end of it, they're looking at the
24	whole state. So for their books, that's a
25	negligible amount, but for us, it makes a

1	big difference.	
2	MR. McKOWEN:	
3	It might have just been rounded.	
4	They might have just picked this Commission	
5	to round on and it made that big a	
6	difference.	
7	MR. POTEET:	
8	All right. No other questions?	
9	No other discussion?	
10	(No response.)	
11	MR. POTEET:	
12	I think we have to approve that.	
13	I need a motion.	
14	MR. DONNELL:	
15	I make a motion.	
16	MR. POTEET:	
17	Mr. Donnell.	
18	Do I have a second?	
19	MR. OLAVE:	
20	Second.	
21	MR. POTEET:	
22	Mr. Olave.	
23	All in favor, say, "Aye."	
24	(All "Aye" responses.)	
25	MR. POTEET:	

1 Any opposed? 2 (No response.) 3 MR. POTEET: 4 Thank you very much. 5 MR. McKOWEN: 6 Thank you. 7 MR. POTEET: 8 See you next year. 9 MR. McKOWEN: 10 I hope so. 11 MR. POTEET: 12 Probably so. 13 Mona, you ready? We have review of the financial reports. We have August 14 15 and September. 16 MS. ANDERSON: 17 Okay. So if you'll turn in your 18 packet to your financial statements for the 19 month of August ending August 31, 2017, your total current assets on the statement of net 20 21 position were \$2,939,973. And of that, the 22 operating account was \$2,007,676. The fines 23 accounts receivable increased in August to 24 \$217,808. And the prepaid expenses, there

was an increase -- there was a September 1st

payroll that was recorded and prepaid.

There were no changes to the remaining assets in August.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Under the liabilities and deferred inflows, the escrow fines decreased when we approved the fines at the prior The claim against bond decreased meeting. since we paid out \$29,600 in liabilities that we owed to other parties for which we collected. So we collect those, and then they're sent out to the consumers and other entities. Turning on to the statement of expenses -- revenue, expenses, and change in net position on page 3, the year-to-date revenues were \$124,358 compared to \$142,500 last year and that's just the difference in the districts that we're collecting fees And we also had some higher fines from. last year than we had in -- in -year-to-date August this year.

On page 4, the salaries and related benefits were higher than last year. We hired additional staff members this year, compliance investigators and others. And we also had increases in retirement and health

insurance. Of the remaining expenses, we had higher areas in computer-related expenses and in legal fees and in vehicle maintenance. And on page 5, the change in net position at this point was a negative \$5,070. So we're low on fees nearing our start of our renewal period.

On pages 6 and 7, we have a four-year revenue comparison and that shows the last four years of revenue. So you can compare every other year, of course, or comparable districts. So you can see the totals there. The UD and auction transaction fees were significantly increased compared to the '15/'16 year. And, of course, on page 7 is a graph of some of those fees.

On page 8, is a year-to-date budget to actual expenditures graph. And so since it's so early in the fiscal year, there's not much to show there. The following report is the -- on page 9 is a list of the certificates of deposit. We renewed these CDs with Business First Bank at 1.15 percent interest. On page 10, the

accounts receivable hearing fines report 1 2 shows that we assessed fines at the July 3 meeting of \$17,150. Those were recorded in 4 August. And \$16,440 was collected. On page 5 11, the balance at the end of that month was \$217,808. 6 And, Commissioner Cormier, you had asked about the information -- getting 8 9 information to the Attorney General's Office about Sean Calvit and I did talk to Nestor 10 11 Guillory and he said that they are up on all 12 of his current information. So they're 13 working on that --14 MR. CORMIER: 15 Okay. 16 MS. ANDERSON: 17 -- balance for us. 18 MR. CORMIER: 19 All right. Thank you. 20 MS. ANDERSON: 21 Okay. And we'll -- do you want 22 to ask for a vote on that one? 23 MR. POTEET: 24 Yes. 25 Does anybody have any questions?

1	(No response.)
2	MR. POTEET:
3	I need a motion.
4	MR. OLAVE:
5	I make a motion, Mr. Chairman, we
6	accept the financial report.
7	MR. CORMIER:
8	Second.
9	MR. POTEET:
10	Second Mr. Cormier.
11	All in favor, say, "Aye."
12	(All "Aye" responses.)
13	MR. POTEET:
14	Any opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	MR. POTEET:
17	All right. So all that passes.
18	Start September.
19	MS. ANDERSON:
20	In the September statements for
21	the month ending September 30, 2017, on page
22	1, the statement of net position, our
23	operating account balance decreased to
24	\$1,958,580. Our fines remained the same
25	since we didn't have a quorum at the last

meeting to approve the fines that had been written. We had an increase under noncurrent assets. The equipment increased by \$5,700. We purchased a new air-conditioner for this room, for this side of the building, as we were unable to obtain parts for the old unit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Current liabilities at the bottom of the page were \$47,120. The total is on page 2. And the long-term liabilities included deferred inflows of the 2018 fees that were \$312,280. On pages 3 through 5, the revenue, expenses, and changes in net position report, year-to-date revenues were \$173,669 compared to \$198,000 last year. Mainly, we had a large fine for New Orleans Wholesale in that 2016/'17 year. And on page 4, the salaries were higher due to the additional employee. All other expenses were about \$12,000 higher than the previous year in the areas of the insurance, our insurance went up some, computer-related expenses and legal expenses.

And on the last page of that statement, page 5, the unaudited change in

net position was a negative 102,176. The following page -- pages 6 and 7, shows a revenue -- four-year revenue comparison, about \$26,000 difference between the '17/'18 and the comparable '15/'16 year. Auction transaction fees were higher this year, but again the fines were lower. And the following page is the graph of some of that revenue.

Page 8 shows a graph of some of the expense -- expenditure changes, salaries, and related benefits as compared to the operating expenditures. And as I said, the salaries and benefits increased due to increase in employees and just general increases. On page 9 is the certificate of deposit report and there are no changes for September on that report. On page 10 is the accounts receivable report. No fines were assessed due to our meeting and the balance in the accounts receivable was \$217,808.

So unless there are any questions, Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report.

MD DOWNER.
MR. POTEET:
Any comments, questions on that?
MR. OLAVE:
I make a motion, Mr. Chairman, we
accept the financial statement.
MR. SMITH:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second by Mr. Smith.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. That passes.
Thank you, Mona.
MS. ANDERSON:
Thank you.
MR. POTEET:
We have one more item under
financial matters. We have payment of
invoices for August and September for
Attorney Hallack. Mr. Parnell.
MR. PARNELL:

1	Commissioners, you will find in
2	your packet an invoice of services for
3	August of 2017 and September of 2017 for
4	Attorney Robert Hallack. We've gone through
5	the entire invoice and we noted what the
6	hours were and we always make sure all the
7	calculations were correct. So,
8	Commissioners, the invoice for services for
9	August 2017 was \$3,645. Commissioners, I
10	ask that you approve payment of Attorney
11	Hallack's bill for services for August of
12	2017.
13	MR. TAYLOR:
14	I make a motion.
15	MR. OLAVE:
16	Second.
17	MR. POTEET:
18	Second from Mr. Olave.
19	All in favor, say, "Aye."
20	(All "Aye" responses.)
21	MR. POTEET:
22	Any opposed?
23	(No responses.)
24	MR. POTEET:
25	All right.

1	MR. PARNELL:
2	Commissioners, the second invoice
3	is as well for services rendered for
4	September of 2017, which the number is
5	\$3,195. Commissioners, I ask that you
6	approve payment of Attorney Hallack's bill
7	of services for September of 2017.
8	MR. OLAVE:
9	I make that motion.
10	MR. TAYLOR:
11	I second the motion.
12	MR. POTEET:
13	Mr. Taylor. Mr. Olave.
14	All in favor, say, "Aye."
15	(All "Aye" responses.)
16	MR. POTEET:
17	Any opposed?
18	(No responses.)
19	MR. POTEET:
20	All right. Cut the check.
21	All right. Let's see. Where are
22	we? All right. We've got some ratification
23	of imposed penalties.
24	MR. PARNELL:
25	All right. Commissioners, I ask

that we could amend the agenda to delete the 1 first item underneath ratification of 2 imposed civil penalties, United Auto World, 3 LLC. 4 5 MR. POTEET: 6 We need to amend the agenda. Do I have a motion? MR. OLAVE: 8 9 (Makes motion.) 10 MR. TAYLOR: 11 Second. 12 MR. POTEET: 13 Second Mr. Taylor. All in favor, say, "Aye." 14 (All "Aye" responses.) 15 16 MR. POTEET: 17 All right. So amended. 18 MR. PARNELL: 19 You'll find in your chart -- you 20 will find in your packet a chart that illustrates the dealers that were in 21 violation of state law. I have determined 22 23 that the public interest can be served 24 without further administrative proceeding. 25 Thus, civil penalties were imposed. I will

announce the names of the dealers that have been imposed with a penalty for the record.

Do we have anyone present that's representing any of these dealerships?

MS. BARON:

No, we do not.

MR. PARNELL:

Then, I'll go through the list.

MS. BARON:

Let me check for sure. No one.

MR. PARNELL:

The first one on the list is

Joe's Used Cars, LLC from Alexandria,

Louisiana. The violation fine amount was

\$1,450. Second on the list is Blakey Auto

Plex, LLC from Shreveport, Louisiana. Fine

amount was \$200. Third on the list is North

American Automotive Group from Baton Rouge,

Louisiana. Fine amount was \$150. Fourth on

the list is Southbay Autoplex, LLC from

Prairieville, Louisiana. Fine amount was

\$600. And last on the list is C & K

Automotives, LLC from Baton Rouge,

Louisiana. Fine amount was \$500. The total

of amount of fines for the month was \$2,900.

Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the 1 2 imposed civil penalties assessed. 3 MR. TAYLOR: I make a motion. 4 5 MR. OLAVE: Second that motion, Mr. Chairman. 6 MR. POTEET: 8 All in favor, say, "Aye." 9 (All "Aye" responses.) 10 MR. POTEET: 11 Any opposed? 12 (No response.) 13 MR. POTEET: 14 I'm looking at this last one, 15 parking vehicles in the right-of-way. do they do, just park them in the --16 17 MR. PARNELL: 18 By the railroad tracks right 19 there on Choctaw, there's -- in our statutes 20 it is against the law to park there, but he 21 parks across the street right next to the --22 on the sidewalk, basically. 23 MR. POTEET: 24 Just curious. Kind of crazy. Ι 25 haven't seen that one in a while or maybe I

1 2 MR. TAYLOR: Is -- North American Auto Group, 3 is that -- is this one still an established 4 5 business? It says for not maintaining. 6 MR. PARNELL: Yes. MR. TAYLOR: 8 9 So --MR. PARNELL: 10 Typically, what that means is 11 12 that either their signage, their phone, or 13 one of those things were down, or a 14 salesperson, they didn't have any 15 salesperson licensed at that time when they 16 went out. 17 MR. TAYLOR: 18 Okay. 19 MR. POTEET: 20 All right. Next, we have the 21 Executive Director's report. 22 MR. PARNELL: 23 Commissioners, you will find in 24 your packet some charts that illustrate some

of the things that's been going on in the

enforcement division. We've been working very hard. We've added staff and it's been going really well. We find that, you know, we're able to not be as reactive as we always have been. We're a little more proactive which is the direction I want to do to move us forward. Well, we've consistently been -- just anything that came up, we would have to go out and deal with it, but as we're trying to be more effective on what we're doing, we're trying to learn how to get in front of those things sometimes. So with this, it will -- I'll go through the alleged issue counts.

For August and September, there was a total of 188 alleged issues. The next document is the case report that illustrates the amount of cases that were assigned to the investigators and enforcement staff.

For August and September, there were 119 cases that were assigned. 31 of those cases were closed and 88 of those cases remain open.

The next document is the total department summary report, which illustrates

the total amount of cases that were closed for the two months of August and September. The amount of cases that were closed were Also, during the months of August seven. and September, there were five-day notices There were nine of those issued. issued. There were 78 physical inspections that were There were 44 instances where we conducted. helped consumers receive their titles. There were 221 site visits. There's currently 17 audits being conducted. were 42 violations that were issued through -- for both of those months. And assisted consumers receive money back, the total amount was \$29,445.86.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other news, we did send out our postcards last week, started the renewal process, you know. We did lose some staff, but we're actively trying to get more staff to help us out in the renewal process, so we can make sure it continues real smooth. We still haven't placed the 14-day turnaround once a dealer has submitted their application paperwork and it's complete. We still have a 14 day turnaround in getting

that license back out to them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other news, we did receive the vehicle from -- and, Commissioner Donnell, the dealership is Courtesy of Acadiana in Breaux Bridge is where we purchased it. They have the state contract with them. So we did get a 2018 Dodge Charger last week. They -- it took some time for them to put the GPS into it and they called me to pick I was kind of surprised when we it up. looked at the vehicle, because we paid for a 2018 SE model, but they gave us a 2018 XT model, which is much bigger and it's a better vehicle, more options in it. we're going to go ahead and try to issue that out to one of the compliance investigators. It's going to be Montie who's going to get this vehicle. So he will be riding pretty new. And as I said, we're still continuing to try to grow staff. ran into some issues the last couple of weeks with staff. Some staff left, but we're still in the process of putting information out there, so we can try to hire people and move forward.

Are there any other questions or comments?

MR. POTEET:

What's going on with our computer system again? What was the --

MR. PARNELL:

Well, we kind of --

MR. POTEET:

I know that we --

MR. PARNELL:

Well, currently right now, we're not really -- we're still looking around.

We just reached out to MicroPac, which is the company that bought CAVU. We spoke with them, I would say, Thursday of last week and they have some upgrades that they say we can do. We're about three upgrades behind.

They said they'd go in there and look at the entire system, the way it looks, the way it's operating, but we don't know that to be factual at this point. What we're going to do now -- we did discuss with another company, but we don't believe that he was exactly where he needed to be for us to kind of go full steam with them.

So, currently, our plan is to look at MicroPac and make sure that what we're receiving from them in an upgrade of CAVU is something that's going to benefit us. And, hopefully, it will. We don't know that yet. We're going to set up another meeting with them next week, so we can kind of sit down and look at the product and look at what we have out there, so we can kind of see the direction we need to go in.

MR. POTEET:

So they've recently acquired CAVU?

MR. PARNELL:

Three years ago, they did, yes.

MR. POTEET:

So we have some experience with them?

MR. PARNELL:

Very minimal. Very minimal.

Since I've been here eight years, CAVU has been bought three times. And each time a new company comes in, of course, they express how great they're going to be, how much more they're going to do than the last

person. But then it all turns out to be the same for us. So I don't have a lot of confidence that they're going to actually do these things, but I can hope that they will. I'll try to be optimistic about that.

MR. POTEET:

2.0

Yes. I think what we've learned from this is the grass is not always greener on the other side after we had that last group in here and CAVU looked awful good after we got through dealing with them, right?

MR. PARNELL:

Yes, absolutely.

MR. POTEET:

Okay.

MR. PARNELL:

We're not going to really be able to grow and move in the direction we would like to see the agency move if we don't have any more functionality out of our programs and our computer system, everything that we're doing. We're still going to be kind of stagnant and not moving the information the way I would like to see it happen.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

What do they actually do for you?

Can you save it, like, your shopping carts

and piece and part it out to people that

really do a good job in those areas?

MR. PARNELL:

We looked into doing something like that, but I don't think that would really be a benefit for us. I don't think that would really work out for us. Just -- we need more of a robust program that we can one stop shop we can all go into. The field would have access. They're able to use their laptop. They're able to do everything they need to do out there in the field and coordinate that and have real time that's connecting with the office. So that is something that we are -- we really would like to see happen, you know.

On another note, I did reach out to Office of Motor Vehicles and they are going to approve us in person to have the VPN access to their system. So what that -- what that's going to do to our investigators, while they're out in the

field, they're going to be able to go straight to the Office of Motor Vehicles' website in their vehicles, pull up the VPN to get into the Office of Motor Vehicles site. They can run VIN numbers and do all these things while they're out there in the field versus what we're kind of doing now is, they're calling here in the office and staff here is kind of relaying messages back to them. So what we're kind of wanting to see happen for a few years now, but they've improved that. We since sent some background information, background checks for the staff that I'd like to see have that access and I think that's going to dramatically improve on what we're doing and a speedy efficiency out in the field.

MR. OLAVE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Streamline it.

MR. PARNELL:

Yes.

MR. OLAVE:

Very good.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. Thank you. The next thing

on our agenda is the legislative session and we've got some proposed amendments for legislation.

Ron, do you want to take over here?

MR. DUPLESSIS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Absolutely. I think first of all, I'm going to start out by saying there's a big issue on the table. That's going to be the sales finance act this year. And how we handle that, I would offer the Commission to do it very discreetly, but a very pointed plan and work through the Legislative Committee this year. I did some research quite a bit since the last meeting. It appears that Danny Alonzo has appealed his decision with the New Car Commission as to the sales finance act. The upside/downside of that is if he wins, it's pretty cool. The likelihood of the fact of presenting himself in a winning situation, especially New Orleans with the history of the New Motor Vehicle Commission, is probably not that well-founded. The problem with this is that if he loses, it is legally entered as an appellate decision of Westlaw. Then, that is somewhat memorialized into law and it's -- it ratifies their rules to a great degree. So I would recommend that we become involved in that by writing an amicus brief and I'll let the lawyers just jump in and count on me for that, but I don't know, unless we're subpoenaed, we can get any other information.

MR. POTEET:

What were the grounds for his appeal?

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Unfair and, basically, it's an unfair act, which is within their own laws, but also they have no jurisdiction over him by the overreach. And I think we discuss the overreach by how you read the law. In my opinion, it's -- the scales are about even on how you interpret it. It depends on who you talk to. I think that they have a strong lobby with LADA and I've seen issues and shared that already, that they're moving toward a very strong legislative session.

Being a new car dealer, I'm privileged to

get all their information. So they're already meeting with the House and the Senate, all the Legislature. They have their talking points. They distribute them to their dealers. So they know exactly what they're going to do. So I think the -- in order for Danny to be successful, he's going to have to receive pretty much an unbridled support from the LIADA and Danny is going to have to secure very good counsel and he's going to have to fight for what I think is to be possibly a very large fine. And they gave him two options, one, to appeal, one, not to appeal. When -- the one not to appeal was a bargain and the one to appeal was much higher, plus legal fees. So it's going to be quite expensive and extensive to his time.

MS. MORRIS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you know who's handling that matter? And I know there was a motion to dismiss the appeal filed by the Commission.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I did not see that. The only thing I could read was their agenda and

minutes. So my ear has not been quite to the ground quite as much. Maybe they have worked that out. The other thing they do is they have a very abbreviated agenda. And I looked back for the last three meetings and found really nothing that supported it and read through boring minutes several hours. I had to take a couple pills to get me through that. But, nevertheless, there was nothing there to substantiate it. So that's the only thing that I know.

MR. OLAVE:

What -- Ms. Sheri, what did you say you heard? I didn't hear the first part.

MS. MORRIS:

It was my understanding that there was a motion to dismiss the appeal filed months ago and I do not know if that was disposed of --

MR. OLAVE:

Okay.

MS. MORRIS:

-- by the appellant.

MR. HALLACK:

Just so everybody can understand, Danny Alonzo owns a dealership in Kenner known as Wholesale Auto Group, not to be confused with Wholesale Autoplex, which is in Houma. But Danny was cited for two violations, basically one being that he did not have a motor vehicle sales finance license, and the other one being advertising. He was advertising as a wholesale auto dealer and his name is Wholesale Auto Group. So Danny fought that. He went and had a hearing, I believe it was in March of this year, and the New Car Commission fined him \$100,000 for those violations. Again, that being that he didn't have a motor vehicle sales finance license and that he had "wholesale" in his name. They told him that they would accept the fine of \$25,000 if he agreed to pay it, but if he appealed it, the fine would be \$100,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Danny had been through some lawyers, one of which just went to jail. So he was very unsatisfied with the lawyers that he had. And so he was in a position

where he had to find another lawyer and he only had 30 days to file an appeal. So he had to file the appeal on his own behalf individually.

And just so everybody knows, you cannot file an appeal individually as a person on behalf of your business corporation, because that would be the unauthorized practice of law. So that's what's happened. And the New Car Commission in response to his file -- his appeal, filed this motion to dismiss his appeal on the grounds that he could not file an appeal in his name, that he had to have a lawyer to represent his --

MR. POTEET:

2.0

2.4

Now, has the time expired?
MR. HALLACK:

Well, that's -- he's waiting on the court to rule on that, but if the court rules that he could not individually represent his own corporation -- and it's his corporation. It's 100 percent owned by him. He's the only officer of the corporation and everything. But if the

court rules that he cannot represent his own corporation without a license to practice law, then his appeal will be dismissed and it will be dismissed with some liability.

So I don't know where the court is on that.

So that's where we're at. So if he loses his appeal, he doesn't have to pay \$100,000.

So, basically, he's out of business and this is a business that he's had for years. And, like I said, the tragedy of it is, there's another business in Houma that goes by the name of Wholesale Autoplex and they've done nothing to them.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I guess if that's the case, then
Westlaw is not really pertinent to the law
going forward except for maybe how they
interpret it. I know Victor fairly well and
knew nothing of this. So maybe I'll try to
distance myself from that group here. That
sure took me out of my plan. At the end of
the day, if it does, I guess we're going to
have to stay focused on that, stay in the
know. But we -- if he -- if it is allowed
that he could appeal with counsel, then

maybe we should present an amicus brief. I think the way your agency probably -- the association should stay on top of this, because it very well may be interpretive law and case law.

So, you know, I guess Sheri,
Robert, Mr. Chairman, we ought to talk about
the sales finance act on how we're going to
handle that. I am kind of clueless.

MR. HALLACK:

2.0

Well, I think the Commission needs to be directly involved with whatever happens with Danny Alonzo, not so much as to rely on the LIADA, but I think the Commission needs to find out what's going on there.

MR. POTEET:

Let me ask you a question at the risk of opening a kind of different can of worms. It is something that confuses me and I have two lawyers in the room. Maybe you can help me out with this. It seems like the basis of the -- their whole idea about the name "wholesale" is that that is misrepresenting to consumers.

MR. HALLACK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's a violation of their advertising laws -- rules and regulations, not laws.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. And so somebody may or may not have made some decision at some point in time to say that "wholesale" in the name of the business is -- you know, you're defrauding or misleading consumers. don't have that in our rules. So if you have to get a motor vehicle finance license, you can't have the name "wholesale." But if you don't want to get one of those licenses or don't need one, you can have the name "wholesale." So if you believe that the consumer is being mislead, why would they be misled by one group and not misled by another group? And so that's why I said we're opening another can of worms, but then we're just -- maybe we're pushing the others over the cliff with the rest of them, with the others that have that. But wouldn't that be the basis for us to look at this and say, this is in -- you're putting us in a

position of now treating our licensees in 1 2 two different ways? Does that make sense at 3 all? 4 MR. HALLACK: Oh, absolutely. I mean --5 6 MR. POTEET: I mean, we've been looking for a way to sort of get into this. For me, this 8 9 is the way. 10 MR. HALLACK: 11 We have to be proactive. We have 12 to --MR. POTEET: 13 14 But we have to have a reason to be proactive. 15 16 MR. HALLACK: 17 Well, this is one of our licensees that we believe is not being 18 19 treated fairly under their law. 20 MR. TAYLOR: 21 I think it's about overreach. 22 It's not necessarily -- it's overreach when 23 they're looking at the finance license in 24 their statutes. 25 MR. HALLACK:

1 Right.

2 MR. TAYLOR:

You know, I think the name whole
-- I don't necessarily agree 100 percent the
whole wholesale thing.

MR. POTEET:

No, Of course not.

MR. TAYLOR:

But today, that's not what we're arguing, because we're arguing overreach.

MR. HALLACK:

Well, the bigger argument -- for Mr. Alonzo, the bigger argument is that he shouldn't have had to have a motor vehicle sales finance license. He did not do any direct lending. He refers his customers to banks, to finance companies. So all he did was have them fill out an application and he sent in the application. So to Mr. Alonzo, he was not financing. And so that's why we decided to fight that.

And, of course, as we all know, we've been over this for a year now, there's an exemption under their law for motor vehicle dealers. And we think, according to

the definition in the motor vehicle sales finance act, motor vehicle includes used motor vehicles as well. There is no definition under the motor vehicle sales finance act for a motor vehicle dealer. The only definition is for a motor vehicle and motor vehicle includes used motor vehicles. So they have to go to the new car law outside of the motor vehicle sales finance act to find a definition that meets to what they're trying to do on the motor vehicle sales finance act. So I can guarantee you that is something that they are going to try to correct in this legislative session.

Mr. Duplessis has pointed out that they are going to be -- they are already engaged, involved. They're going to their representatives and senators. There's a reason why they're doing that and they know they've got to make this correction in the motor vehicle sales finance act to include just new car dealers. They only want the exemption to the motor vehicle sales finance act for new car dealers.

MR. DONNELL:

2.0

2.4

And, you know, we know what's happening and what I'd like to do is get Derek to get Dwayne to invite all the Board members here. It's very important. I mean, lobbyists in Baton Rouge make the world go around, you know. They have got a strong lobby. We can't lobby. We've got to get them to lobby for us. We've got to get more --

MR. PARNELL:

I'll try to get something set up.
MR. HALLACK:

But none of the legislation I've proposed has anything to do with the motor vehicle sales finance act. And the reason is, I just don't think we can do it. As a Commission, we can't do it. We certainly cannot do it, because the grass roots is not there for us. It just is not happening.

And it doesn't seem like it's ever going to happen. The LIADA just does not seem to be engaged in this problem. Everybody is happy paying the \$400 a year. Your license --

MR. POTEET:

They are not happy. They're

accepting.

2 MR. TAYLOR:

3 Scared is maybe the word.

MR. TAMBLING:

Just on that note, maybe off the record --

MR. POTEET:

Let's not go off the record.

MR. TAMBLING:

Well, I spoke to Danny Alonzo a couple days ago and as of right now, he has one dealer that has actually sided with him in the lawsuit. And we reached out and touched base with over 130 dealers that had "wholesale" in their name and, you know, we raised money for a lobbyist. We worked hard on this, you know, this year. And out of everybody that we reached out to, the dealers do not want to get involved in it that we've come in contact with. They said, we'll just pay the \$400.

MR. HALLACK:

You can imagine all those people just paid \$400 toward getting a lobbyist, how much money they could raised.

MR. OLAVE:

Robert, you had brought up one time that the loan -- that the definition of loan origination had already been defined by a federal agency. I mean, I would just believe that was -- if I was an attorney, that would be my first point of contention is because it has already been defined and they're redefining it for their own purposes. And I don't know, maybe it gets squashed there. If it's a standardized loan origination, in other words, to be at, you know, the time of funding or disclosure or whatever it is, then all of that goes way.

MR. HALLACK:

Actually, the -- and one time we looked at the definition and the definition doesn't really help us as much as the fact is that there is an exemption under the motor vehicle sales finance act for motor vehicle dealers. That's the biggest thing.

MR. OLAVE:

Yes.

MR. HALLACK:

They're interpreting that to be

new car dealers are exempted from the law, but the new -- the motor vehicle sales finance act does not say new car dealers.

It just says motor vehicle dealers and motor vehicle dealers is not defined, but motor vehicle is defined as also including used motor vehicles.

MR. OLAVE:

Got you.

MR. HALLACK:

You know, this law was developed in the '50s, you know, when I don't think anybody was even worried about used motor vehicle dealers at that time, you know. So when the law was written, it was written only -- perhaps, the only thing they knew were new car dealers, I guess. I'm not sure exactly when the independent used motor vehicle industry started taking all away from franchise dealers. But at the time that this was all written, I don't think there were that many independent used motor vehicle dealers in the '50s. Maybe I'm wrong. Do y'all know? Does anybody know?

MR. DUPLESSIS:

25

Well, unfortunately, I do, sad to You know, in 1975 when I got into the business, the -- everybody normally went to the bank and they had a banker's relationship and the average car loan was 12 months. And now there's 72 and 84. I quess times have changed, for sure, maybe both. But there was not that relationship of the indirect lending. Warranties were non-existent. Gap -- none of these products were there. So, therefore, it didn't really kind of rear its head and there wasn't the conversion issues, the lifted trucks and so The used car dealers were there and forth. they were expected to do a job new car dealers didn't want to do. Now, they're emerging to -- I think, in a lot of areas go head to head to see what the new car dealers, what they are allowed to do. car dealers' threshold responsibility is much higher and when you get on Yelp and you get on so many other consumer sites, a new car dealer can't sell what a lot of used car dealers are known to sell. And they cater to a different segment of the public.

it's changed a great deal. And, again, it kind of reared its head probably the last 10 years, I would say.

MR. POTEET:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, I know from the history of the National Auto Auction Association that used car dealers have been around for as long as the National Auto Auction Association has been around, which is about 80 years. And I'm sure they were around before that. And if the other -- there's a famous scene in the 1961 movie "Psycho" where there's actually a transaction at a used car dealer lot. So if anybody wants to have some fun with trivia, watch "Psycho." It stops just before the shower scene.

So, you know, I -- one of the things that I see in here, we just keep talking about overreach and what they're Something that came up at the doing. auction the other day was, how come new car dealers don't have a used car dealer license. Does anybody know the answer to that.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Yes, I do. It's all

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

encompassing. Sheri and I wrote a lot of new car dealer legislation for a couple years and I had been involved with it before Basically, the New Car Commission that. feels that they have the overreach of the entire transaction -- set of transactions of the new car dealer. They can go and examine its books, its records, its licenses, its files and that would encompass finance. would encompass the body shop and service activities. It covers dealer's entire license. And no one has ever challenged it. I'm not sure it's specifically addressed. But as a new car dealer, you really don't want to piss off the New Car Commission.

MS. MORRIS:

There's an exemption in the law that says a new car dealer doesn't need a used license. So then the New Car Commission has jurisdiction over that dealer. So, like Ron said, they can still examine the books in the used car shop as well as the new car.

MR. HALLACK:

During the Foster administration when they tried to take motorcycles, a new car dealer was Peake BMW. Peake BMW also sold BMW motorcycles. Well, motorcycles were our exclusive jurisdiction and authority back then. And Peake BMW said, no, we're not getting a motorcycle license from you, because we got a license from the New Car Commission. And that started the fight that ended up at the Capitol with Governor Foster saying, children behave, and if you can't behave, you -- I'll have to intervene and you -- neither one of you will like it.

But during that, when they started the fight over Peake BMW motorcycles is when we fired back and said, okay, you're independent used car lots and the lot that you have that is not at your new car lot, that needs to be licensed by us. And that was a noble reaction to the motorcycle deal, but -- and that's what happened there. So I don't recommend that we go with anything right now with regard to the motor vehicle sales finance act until we're sure that we

can get some grass roots backing on that. 1 And I think we need to include the LIADA 2 3 officers and directors on our agenda. 4 they see our agenda, they know what's going I know we have, what -- Mr. Donnell, 5 you're on the --6 7 MR. DONNELL: Matt and I. 8 9 MR. HALLACK: 10 Okay. Are on the LIADA. 11 I've said this, we've got to get this done. 12 We've got to become more involved with what 13 we do. 14 MR. POTEET: 15 Well, we -- yes. I mean, if they 16 don't come out and fight for this, it's not 17 going to be something we can push. 18 MR. HALLACK: 19 No. I agree. 20 MR. DONNELL: 21 We got to do it legally. 22 MR. POTEET: 23 All right. With that being said, let's look at what amendments we do have for 24 25 the legislation. It's going to be a tab

under legislation.

2.0

2.4

Robert, do you want to go with this here?

MR. HALLACK:

Yes. The first thing I think we need to do is, we need to redefine motor vehicles. Our definition of motor vehicles, you can see it there, everything that's marked through is something we want to delete. So where you see marked through, that's a deletion. Where you see underline, that's a new provision. You can tell from what's been marked through under our definition of motor vehicle how confusing and absurd that definition actually is.

It's crazy.

So what I would suggest is that
we go with something a lot more simple and
something that's kind of in keeping with
what the New Car Commission does. So what
I've recommended is that a motor vehicle is
defined as a vehicle required to be
registered, which was or is designed to be
used for the transporting of passengers or
goods for public, private, commercial or for

1	hire purposes. It closely follows what the
2	New Car Commission has for motor vehicle.
3	Does anybody have any questions
4	about that?
5	MR. POTEET:
6	You're taking out a lot of words.
7	MR. OLAVE:
8	A lot of them are recreational,
9	motorcycles.
10	MR. POTEET:
11	I don't see any problem with
12	that.
13	Does anybody have any issues with
14	that?
15	MR. TAYLOR:
16	I just wonder if we're excluding
17	me as a recreational dealer. Do all of us
18	have to be registered now that they do?
19	MR. HALLACK:
20	Yes. Even four-wheelers have to
21	be registered, but they have to be
22	registered with the Department of Wildlife
23	and Fisheries.
24	MR. DUPLESSIS:
25	Not licensed, just registered.

MR. HALLACK:

Right, both. Same thing, they have to be registered. And, like I said, this follows the new car law. Most of this was something that we had previously discussed that we were going to go with before we made the big change and decided to do something with the motor vehicle sales finance act. So you've seen all of this before. The second page, I still think we need to have a better mission statement in our jurisdiction and authority.

MR. POTEET:

Could I ask a question just going back? This is probably for -- well, Matt is another auction guy here now. So if you get a license from the Motor Vehicle Commission, they license not only new car dealers, but motorcycles, RV, what else, boats?

MR. HALLACK:

Travel trailers.

MR. POTEET:

Okay.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Anything with a franchise

agreement.

2 MR. POTEET:

Okay. All right. So if somebody gets a license there, they are allowed to come to the auction and buy and sell, right? They don't need a licensed from us?

MR. HALLACK:

That's correct.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. I just wanted to make sure about that. Okay. Thank you.

MR. HALLACK:

Anyway, this is -- like I said before, I think we need a clear mission statement on what it is that we do. So that's what I agree -- I think we need to try to put that in there. Now, this is going to be something that the New Car Commission is not going to like. If you look at our old definition, it kind of says, you know, you're subservient to the New Car Commission. And I think we need a mission statement that says we're not subservient to the New Car Commission. We do something that is completely different from what you

do and we license people that don't do anything like what you do. And so that's why I think we need to have a mission statement that says we're independent from you. We do something completely different from what you do. And that's why we need to be -- we need to have a different commission. We need to be regulated and licensed by a different agency. That's why I think we need to have a mission statement that sets forth what it is that this agency does.

MR. TAYLOR:

Page 3, if you go to the top, I might be over thinking this, but I'm thinking about our field officers. And it basically -- well, it doesn't basically say. It says, "The Used Motor Vehicle Commission will encourage and strengthen the relationship between dealers and consumers by working with them to resolve disputes." Most of these disputes are civil. When these guys get out there, I wonder if we shouldn't add the words "to help resolve disputes" versus "to resolve disputes." And

that might just take a little heat off of

Montie and the rest of the field agents. Or

am I over thinking that?

MR. OLAVE:

It says, "encourage."

MR. HALLACK:

No. We have two investigators in here right now.

Guys, how much do you think your time is spent trying to resolve a civil dispute, a lot?

MR. WISENOR:

Yes, about 70 percent, I mean, because the majority of our complaints are -- turn out to be civil issues, but we still work the complaint to see if anything can be done. But if the dealer chooses not to, we can't force the issue, because no violation has been committed.

MR. PEDERSON:

We do now try to mediate some kind of fair agreement between the dealer and the consumer.

MR. HALLACK:

I've seen instances where y'all

have gotten the dealer to take back a car that he didn't have to under our law take it back.

MR. WISENOR:

Or make repairs that by law he wouldn't have -- would have had to do, but he chose to --

MR. PEDERSON:

Refund portions of money.

MR. WISENOR:

Right.

MR. TAYLOR:

He has helped mediate -- Montie has helped mediate my office between me and a consumer over the past nine years we've been in business several times and have done an excellent job of doing that. But, once again, I think that Dwayne should be able help resolve disputes, so he can walk away from it or he does not have to hear anything from the dealer saying, it says right here, you're going to resolve this dispute, or the consumer says, you're going to resolve this dispute.

MR. HALLACK:

That's an excellent point. We need to make that change.

MR. POTEET:

Facilitate dispute resolution?
MR. HALLACK:

I think simply put just to help resolve.

MR. POTEET:

Let's go with that.

MR. HALLACK:

The next change that we're proposing is with regard to the bond. We don't really have anything within our law right now that says that we have the authority and jurisdiction to resolve a bond dispute. So, basically, this includes -- gives the Commission the authority and jurisdiction to do something it already does, but -- and that includes not only enforcing the bond, but it also includes if a bond company pays a bond that shouldn't have been paid. I mean, we've had that, how many times, Kim, in the last five years where a bond company has paid a bond it shouldn't have paid?

1	MS. BARON:
2	That it shouldn't have paid?
3	MR. HALLACK:
4	Yes.
5	MR. WISENOR:
6	Paid a claim.
7	MR. HALLACK:
8	Paid a claim they went behind
9	our back and paid a claim that they
10	shouldn't have.
11	MS. BARON:
12	Yes. Five or six, maybe.
13	MR. HALLACK:
14	Okay.
15	MR. WISENOR:
16	I had a dealer in my area file
17	against his own bond and they paid on two
18	vehicles.
19	MS. BARON:
20	Yes.
21	MR. WISENOR:
22	The customer got the only
23	benefit was it was the customer would not
24	have been able to file a claim, because they
25	didn't pay the taxes with the transaction,

but, I mean, Kim, she was not happy with it, that he was able to do so.

MR. HALLACK:

And, Kim, how much of a function is this for you every day? How many -- on average, how much time do you spend on bond claims?

MS. BARON:

I would say probably 40 to 50 percent, because I do -- we do a lot of claims against surety. They have a lot of dealers that go out of business or collect those taxes, and then they don't submit them to the state. And so we have to do the claim against surety. We have to go through that process and it's a process. I mean, it's cumbersome to the consumer as well, because they have to get information, you know, from their finance company and from this and that. But it takes a good bit of time.

MR. HALLACK:

So, basically, this says that we have the authority and jurisdiction to do that and it also permits the Executive

Director to initiate a lawsuit if he needs to, to either enforce a claim or to get back money that was paid unlawfully.

MS. BARON:

And I will tell you that these bond companies take their time about paying this back. And when we're trying to get a reimbursement for a consumer, it's usually because that consumer needs that money, you If they have to go and pay late fees, know. penalties, and interest when they go to get their vehicle registered and it's because the dealer did not give them the title in a timely manner, then that's money out of their pocket. And I've seen it be, you know, 2 or \$300 dollars. That's a lot to some of these people. And the bond company, I mean, they take their time. If there is anything, we should put a regulations on how much time they have to pay it back to us, you know, when we file a claim, that would be great. But I don't know if that's doable or not, but.

MR. HALLACK:

Well, I can tell you when Kim

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

turned one over to me and I sent them a 1 2 letter threatening to file suit, they 3 typically get --MR. POTEET: 4 5 Come to the table, huh? 6 MS. BARON: 7 They send a check. 8 MR. CORMIER: 9 What's the normal turnaround? 10 MS. BARON: Normal? 11 12 MR. CORMIER: 13 Yes. 14 MS. BARON: 15 Six months. 16 MR. CORMIER: 17 Wow. 18 MS. BARON: 19 It's -- I've had -- I've got 20 claims against surety, claims on the books. 21 When they go to Motor Vehicle, Motor Vehicle 22 requires certain documents from the 23 consumer. I have some dating back to 2004, 24 because the consumer has never given that 25 information back, but once I send it to the

bond company, the Motor Vehicle

Commission -- the Office of Motor Vehicle,
excuse me, sends it back to me and I

petition the bond company for that money.

It can be anywhere from six, eight months,
sometimes a year. I've given them to

Robert. These people just won't send me
anything. And I send them second notices
and stuff and a lot of times, they just drag
their feet.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Well, they've got a lot of diligence to do to make sure that that's a worthy bond.

MS. BARON:

Well -- and they send me the -the first time I sent a letter saying, we're
getting with the principal and see if he
wants to pay. And then I have some of them
that call, did they ever pay? He told me he
was going to, but he didn't. But, you know,
the majority of them are probably six months
if not longer.

MR. HALLACK:

Now, I think we should try to

1	figure out a way to put a time limit from
2	the time that she presents, like,
3	satisfactory proof of loss to the bond
4	company, they should be given, like, 60 days
5	in which to pay a claim.
6	MR. DUPLESSIS:
7	But, Robert, what's the remedy?
8	MR. HALLACK:
9	Well, the remedy is that we can
10	sue them. You also notice under this, they
11	have to pay the attorney fees and court
12	costs.
13	MR. CORMIER:
14	That's affecting the consumer.
15	He's hung out that long.
16	MS. BARON:
17	Yes.
18	MR. HALLACK:
19	You're saying 60 days is too
20	long?
21	MR. POTEET:
22	No, the six months.
23	MS. BARON:
24	No, the six months.
25	MR. DUPLESSIS:

No, 60 plus the suit.

2 MR. WISENOR:

Act 699 requires you to wait six months from the date of purchase to file or is that through Motor Vehicle?

MS. BARON:

That's Motor Vehicle law, yes.

Motor Vehicle law -- in their law, for some reason, they have -- once I send a claim against surety to them, they -- is it six months or three?

MR. WISENOR:

Six months.

MS. BARON:

Six months. They wait six months before they even, you know, work on it and that's so the dealer can come back and say, well, you know, I'm going to pay those taxes, I'm going to -- you know, six months, to me, is a little excessive. If you kept that tax money in the first place, what says you're going to come back in six months and pay it?

MR. HALLACK:

Any other questions about that

provision?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. DUPLESSIS:

We can hash that out later.

Let's go ahead and move on.

MR. HALLACK:

The next section, we have some changes with regard to the businesses that we regulate. For instance, if you look at the -- in 784, it lists out all the businesses that this agency licenses and regulates. And there are a couple that, for whatever reason, didn't make the list. For instance, you see there under #4, and we're on page 4, motor vehicle crusher is not in there and we do license and regulate motor vehicle crushers. Just for some reason, that word just never made our list. other thing that I've got in here, also, is daily rentals. I know there are -- do y'all have any idea how many daily rental dealers there are? But anyway --

MR. DUPLESSIS:

A good many.

MR. HALLACK:

A good many, okay. So we have

daily rentals that I recommended that we put in there and also the dealers who rent with the option to purchase we put in there. And the reason why I'm trying to straighten out the daily rentals and take that out of Subsection B, all I'm trying to do is simple bylaws to make sure everybody understands that daily rentals is a license that we license and regulate. We license and regulate daily rentals and let me explain that. For any person who rents vehicles that are two years old or older, and if it's within two years, that is licensed and regulated by the New Car Commission.

MR. DONNELL:

That's a rent-to-own.

MR. HALLACK:

That's daily rentals. So I just want to try to clarify the law, because I don't think the New Car Commission is enforcing this provision correctly either. We have a lot of rent-to-own. They call them lease-to-own. They're not actually lease-to-own. They don't follow any part of lease law whatsoever, but they rent-to-own

1	vehicles that are two years old or older,
2	who are licensed and regulated by the New
3	Car Commission. I can give you an example.
4	Everybody Rides is licensed and regulated by
5	the New Car Commission. And not a car they
6	rent at Everybody Rides is within two years.
7	It is all outside of two years. We had a
8	field investigator go to Everybody Rides in
9	Baton Rouge and there were no cars on their
10	lot that were within two years of age.
11	MR. DONNELL:
12	Whatever happened to
13	MR. TAYLOR:
14	Notice that he wasn't operating
15	now.
16	MR. HALLACK:
17	There are 25 daily rentals.
18	MR. OLAVE:
19	We have 25 dealers that are daily
20	rental?
21	MR. POTEET:
22	25 daily rentals.
23	MR. HALLACK:
24	Now, rent-to-own, I think at one
25	time we counted rent-to-own was like 128,

1 130.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. TAYLOR:

They moved from the Used Yes. Car Commission to the New Car Commission contingent liability insurance for that whole deal back then, which now we cleaned up the legislation a couple years ago and it's no longer a factor. I agree. We had a dealer in Monroe that I whined and complained about for months on end about them operating, selling 15, 18 year-old cars, leasing them, and getting a license from the New Car Commission and being able to operate as a used car dealer after we took their license. I forgot the name of the dealership, but I do see that they're closed now.

MR. WISENOR:

Well, they're still active.

MR. TAYLOR:

Are they? I just haven't seen any cars there.

MR. DONNELL:

I didn't see any cars there the last time I went, but they're still there.

MR. HALLACK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

There is a business that we took out of business. We revoked their license as a rent-to-own dealer and they went and got a lease-to-own license from the New Car Commission. I'm assuming that's who we're talking about. At one time we looked at this, there were half a dozen, maybe eight rent-to-own dealers that had licenses with the New Car Commission. So what we're trying to do is we're trying to straighten this out, because every time we've sat down with the New Car Commission to get them to understand that they shouldn't license and regulate this, they act confused about what the law says, which is clear by the law.

The other thing I think we need to do, I think we need to separate the daily rental license from the rent-to-own license. The rent-to-own license, you have a whole section, 794, which he has to comply with. And I think we need to separate the rent-to-own license from the daily rental license.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Any information to put

lease-to-own in their law that are two years or older.

MR. HALLACK:

The biggest difference from lease and rent is what you know -- well, nobody knows. Okay. Well, there are a lot of reasons, but the biggest reason is, when you rent a vehicle, that vehicle stays in the dealer's name and he still owns that vehicle. When you lease a vehicle, it has to go to a lease holding company. So it's no longer in the dealer's name. It goes to a lease holding company. And the lessor, he has to comply with all kind of repossession laws in order to repossess that vehicle. Whereas, a renter, he can go get it, because the car belongs to him. He's got to go get it on a five-day notice, but he can get it.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

He's also required to pay his federal taxes up front on the entire term of lease.

MR. HALLACK:

That's right. Whereas, the

rental guy only pays his per month. So
those are big reasons. And we know that the
people who are lease-to-own are not doing
that. They're self-help repossessing and
not paying their taxes up front, so.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I think this is easy to explain once we get it to the table. I'd like to see it included.

MR. HALLACK:

Yes. So that is kind of -- I wouldn't say anti-new car, but it is something that they're probably going to push back on.

MR. POTEET:

If you define rent-to-own properly, then once we knew somebody was doing that, we can just --

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Cite them.

MR. POTEET:

-- go after them and say, you're not doing lease-to-own, you're rent-to-own.

Because this is a curbstoner. It's the same thing as a curbstoner, I'm operating under

this license. A curbstoner doesn't have a license, but I'm operating under this guidance, but in reality, no, that's not what you are.

MR. HALLACK:

The other important thing is that these so-called lease-to-own people like
Everybody Rides, they don't have to comply with 32:794, but our rent-to-own guys, they do have to comply with 794. So, I mean, that's a good reason for somebody like
Everybody Rides to say, I don't want to be in the Used Motor Vehicle Commission, because I've got to comply with their law.
Because the New Car Commission, they don't have real tough laws on lease-to-own.

The next thing we have is -- this is a provision that was in our law.

MR. TAYLOR:

Are you reading 794 right now?
MR. HALLACK:

I'm sorry.

MR. TAYLOR:

Let me ask you about this part that concerns me for our auction owners

here. "No person, partnership, corporation, LLC, or other entity unless licensed by the Commission as a used car dealer shall engage in business as a broker, purchasing company, sales agent, or similar title for the procurement of prospective purchases for used motor vehicles."

So I'm just making sure that this is not going to give our auctions any problems with some of the debates that we've had about if they are not licensed, can you still come buy -- if you're an out-of-state buyer, can you still come in here without a license and buy from the auction. Does that give them any grief or any complications.

MR. HALLACK:

Well, you see, that's not proposed in the new law. That's existing law. That's already on the book.

MR. TAYLOR:

Okay.

MR. HALLACK:

It's not being deleted. It's not being introduced. That's the way it already is right now.

1 MR. TAYLOR: 2 Okay. 3 MR. POTEET: We had this discussion a 4 Yes. 5 few years back when there was a proposal that you had to have a salesman's license to 6 attend the auction. And my argument was, 8 you know, if we do that, you're going to severely hamstring all Louisiana car dealers 9 10 and make it, you know, tough on the 11 auctions, but really the people you hurt 12 would be the car dealers. And so we got 13 that -- you know, the idea was, if you're an 14 employee, you can be sent to do buying or 15 selling at the auction, because there's no 16 consumer transaction occurring. 17 MR. HALLACK:

It's a little bit broader than employees. As long as you have a letter authorizing --

MR. POTEET:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. You've just got to be authorized and we use that authorization.

MR. HALLACK:

My understanding is that you

might be there on behalf of two or three different dealerships, right?

MR. POTEET:

You do have that, but we run into this occasionally. Somebody says they're not going to pay for a car, because they fired so and so, but we have a document here that says you authorized that he can buy. Have you run into that.

MR. TAYLOR:

We're okay.

MR. POTEET:

All right.

MR. HALLACK:

The next thing on page 6, we're creating a violation -- a very broad violation. We used to for a long time have this similar provision and it's actually in the law right now under 804(A)3 for dismantlers, parts recyclers, crushers, and others. For them, all we're doing is trying to state the same thing. We are trying to recreate something that we've had in the past. So we're trying to make it a very vague open provision that will allow us to

write a violation for any violation with regard to a used motor vehicle transaction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And let me explain a little bit There are a lot of laws in the motor more. vehicle logbook that Motor Vehicles cannot enforce. We had a meeting with Joe Jarreau two or three weeks ago and they have nobody to enforce motor vehicle provisions right now, none whatsoever. We can't get State Police to go do it. State Police refuses to If you ask a State Police person right now to go enforce motor vehicle provisions, you know what they'll say? Go to the Used Motor Vehicle Commission. And we just don't have that carte blanche authority to write a violation under any provision, including the motor vehicle law and Motor Vehicle doesn't even have that ability, because they don't have anybody to enforce it. So that basically -- this gives us -- if it's any provision dealing with a used motor vehicle transaction, it's a violation of a provision, then, you know, we can write up a violation ticket for it.

Guys, what do y'all think about

that?

MR. WISENOR:

I think it would help at some point, because it would address a lot of disclosure issues, you know, failure to disclose salvage history or any type, branded history, on titles. Right now, we just have to address it as committing a fraudulent act. You've got to prove that the dealer intentionally tried to mislead the customer. For that, you'd be able to actually apply the -- what is it, 707 or 706 that addresses the disclosure? I think that would be a good thing for the dealer as well, you know.

MR. HALLACK:

Yes, that's right. So if you have somebody that's got a car out there to a customer on a dealer tag, they can't really write a ticket on it.

MR. WISENOR:

You might. That's a misuse of temp tag. We expanded that actually to address different types of tags, because it's mainly applied to 60 day temp tags.

MR. HALLACK:

Does anybody have any questions about that provision?

(No response.)

MR. HALLACK:

Does anybody have any objection to this provision?

(No response.)

MR. HALLACK:

And this is the reason why we want people in the association to be involved, because we don't want people to come back three months from now when we've written it up and we've got an author that's going to sponsor the bill, we have some dealer from somewhere saying, hey, I don't like that. Well, we've been talking about this since October. Where were you? We need people to be engaged in this process.

Page 6, okay. At some point, we wrote into our law the as is warranty. What we wrote in our -- into our law is in conflict with the civil code. And the civil code is the basis for all our law in Louisiana. And the civil code has

provisions with regard to redhibition and those provisions are interpreted by the court. What we did was, we came in and said, you know, redhibition doesn't matter anymore and nobody called us on that -- nobody has called us on that. But we wrote the provision in there that says, if you sign as is, that's it. There's nothing else we can do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I know if I was a used motor vehicle dealer, I would love this provision in the law. But a lot of times what -- it hinders an investigator's ability to try to negotiate a deal between a customer and a dealer. Also, too, I mean, we put in one paragraph what the civil code has done over several pages. And, basically, this is in conflict with the civil code. So if we're going to do it, and I think we probably should, we should come up with some kind of express waiver of warranty. In other words, for instance, the customer shall acknowledge the terms of the sale. But how? Should they acknowledge it in writing? Should they acknowledge -- and what are they going to

acknowledge? There are a lot of things that are in this provision that aren't spelled out.

so I think it's something that we need to either delete or we need to revise and we need to revise by giving a lot more language page to it to cover all kinds of situations. Because redhibition has been in the civil code since there was a state. So, I mean, in one fell swoop, we managed to get rid of redhibition and I don't think it's been addressed yet. I know lots of dealers have used this provision and rightfully so. But I'm worried that maybe some dealers will use this provision wrongfully. So I think we need to get rid of it or we need to revise it and put some more language in it. So what do you think?

MR. TAYLOR:

I'll have to think about that some more.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Well, we have to look the at Federal Trade Commission and their redhibition.

1	MR. HALLACK:
2	And that's it.
3	MR. DUPLESSIS:
4	Mr. Chairman, I think Sheri,
5	anything on the administrative procedures
6	act that we should be cautious of?
7	MS. MORRIS:
8	No. I think Robert was also
9	working on the revisions.
10	MR. HALLACK:
11	Yes.
12	MR. DUPLESSIS:
13	You have those as well?
14	MR. HALLACK:
15	You should have this, right?
16	MS. BARON:
17	I haven't given it out, yet.
18	MR. HALLACK:
19	So, guys, if y'all don't have any
20	objection to this legislation that we're
21	proposing, we're going to put this in the
22	form of a bill and look for an author for
23	it. So I think we need to have it on the
24	agenda for next time and I really, really,
25	really think we should get some dealers

1	involved in this.
2	MR. POTEET:
3	Should we have a legislative
4	meeting?
5	MR. DUPLESSIS:
6	We need to have a legislative
7	meeting in November.
8	MR. DONELL:
9	We didn't have a meeting in
10	December.
11	MR. HALLACK:
12	We need to combine the
13	legislative meeting with the regular
14	meeting.
15	MS. BARON:
16	We did.
17	MR. TAYLOR:
18	Well, maybe we need to get the
19	LIADA involved. They should involved in
20	that anyway. They should be there.
21	MR. DUPLESSIS:
22	The third Monday of the month is
23	the 20th. So that might be a safe haven for
24	the holidays.
25	MR. POTEET:

1	That's just before Thanksgiving.
2	MR. DUPLESSIS:
3	Right. Does anybody want to put
4	that in form of a motion?
5	MR. DONNELL:
6	So moved.
7	MR. POTEET:
8	Is the 20th good for everybody?
9	We have a motion on the floor. I need a
10	second.
11	MR. OLAVE:
12	Second.
13	MR. OLAVE:
14	This is for a legislative
15	meeting?
16	MS. BARON:
17	That Thursday is Thanksgiving.
18	So it would be
19	MR. POTEET:
20	Well, we're still planning on
21	having a regular Commission meeting.
22	MS. BARON:
23	Right. But I'm just saying that
24	to make sure we have enough people.
25	MR. DONNELL:

1	And what day is that on?
2	MR. POTEET:
3	Monday the 20th.
4	MR. DUPLESSIS:
5	When do y'all have your meetings?
6	Could you call possibly a meeting on Monday
7	could you do it Tuesday morning? Would
8	that work for everybody?
9	MR. POTEET:
10	Not Tuesday morning for me.
11	MR. DUPLESSIS:
12	That's a terrible idea,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	MR. POTEET:
15	I think, you know, just doing one
16	back to back. They'll have many meetings
17	between now and
18	MS. BARON:
19	Do you want to set a specific
20	time, like, noon or 1 o'clock?
21	MR. POTEET:
22	Are we're going to do it we
23	did it last year off site.
24	MS. BARON:
25	Last year, we did.

1	MR. DUPLESSIS:
2	The State Archives building was
3	always kind of the home for that since it
4	was a fairly large auditorium.
5	MR. PARNELL:
6	Do you want to try to acquire
7	that building space for this?
8	MR. POTEET:
9	I wish we had
10	MS. BARON:
11	We're going to have a hearing.
12	MR. POTEET:
13	Okay.
14	MR. DUPLESSIS:
15	Can we push the hearing to
16	December or is it that critical?
17	MR. POTEET:
18	We have a motion on the floor
19	right now. Do we want to vote, no, on
20	Monday, November 20th. Who made the motion,
21	Ricky?
22	MR. DONNELL:
23	I made it.
24	MR. POTEET:
25	Do you want to rescind it for

1	more discussion?
2	MR. DONNELL:
3	Yes. I'll rescind it.
4	MR. POTEET:
5	All right. So what does
6	everybody think about moving it to December?
7	I mean, if you're going to do that with the
8	other meeting, we're going to be into
9	it's going to around the 20th, roughly.
10	MR. DUPLESSIS:
11	Well, it's a fiscal session.
12	MR. OLAVE:
13	Well, when does it yes. When
14	does the session start?
15	MS. MORRIS:
16	March 12th, and this is a general
17	session.
18	MR. DUPLESSIS:
19	Upcoming?
20	MS. MORRIS:
21	2018 is general. And pre-file
	bills must be sent in, unless there are
22	· ·
22 23	constitution amendments or retirement, by

1	I think we should do it in
2	November, because last time we were so
3	MR. DONNELL:
4	I mean, I remember we got slammed
5	last time.
6	MR. POTEET:
7	Well, if we do it in November,
8	then if we find more issues, we'll have
9	another one.
10	Do you want to make the motion
11	again?
12	MR. DONNELL:
13	I make a motion we do it in
14	November.
15	MR. TAYLOR:
16	Second.
17	MR. POTEET:
18	Okay. So the motion is that
19	we'll have a regular meeting on the 20th
20	followed immediately by the legislative
21	meeting.
22	MS. BARON:
23	Here?
24	MR. POTEET:
25	Here.

1	All in favor, say, "Aye."
2	(All "Aye" responses.)
3	MR. POTEET:
4	Any opposed?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. POTEET:
7	All right. You know what you've
8	got to do now, right?
9	MR. DUPLESSIS:
10	Mr. Chair, there's one other
11	item. I'd like to see if the Commission
12	would entertain Mr. Dino Taylor as the
13	Co-Chairman the legislative committee. I
14	plan to probably roll off the legislative
15	committee after the end of this year. So
16	he's been greatly involved and would be a
17	good asset and I would offer that up to the
18	Commission.
19	MR. POTEET:
20	Are you okay with that?
21	MR. TAYLOR:
22	I am.
23	MR. POTEET:
24	Does anybody have any I don't
25	think we need to make a motion for that

appointment. Does anybody have any
begin{align*}
double any
begin{

MR. POTEET:

All right. So appointed. All right.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

All done.

MR. POTEET:

What do we have?

MR. HALLACK:

We have some rules and regs that we need to clean up a little bit. When I wrote this revision, I did it off the rules and regs that we have on our website and those were incorrect. Those haven't been updated in a while. But you still have some other clean up stuff to do.

If you go page 18, section 2801 under chapter 28 -- I'm sorry, 2901. This is what we were talking about earlier.

There are some -- this is again going back to a list of businesses that we license and regulate. And I think we should separate rent-to-own from daily rentals. We don't

have crusher under here. So we need to include crusher. As you can see under subsection C, used motor vehicle auctions and salvage pools are considered used motor vehicle dealers and must abide by the regulations contained herein. I think we need to separate used motor vehicle auctions from salvage pools. They both have different regulations that they have to comply with. And, again, I think we need to separate them from rent-to-own.

The next thing I've got is the If you go to the bottom of page 18 bonds. under section 2904, Exhibit B. And if you turn the page to page 19, you'll see that the bond amount is \$20,000. We amended the law in that regard and moved it up to 50,000. So it's under our rules and regulations and we need to change that to 50,000.

The other thing I've suggested --I don't see it in here anywhere. And maybe Kim can show me. But at one time, we allowed dealers to have offsite displays.

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

MS. BARON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

It's in the law. It's not in the 1 2 rules and regs. 3 MR. HALLACK: 4 Okay. 5 MS. BARON: It's an actual statute. 6 MR. HALLACK: Okay. But maybe we should pull 8 9 that out and see. Is there a whole lot of 10 detail on offsite displays --11 MS. BARON: 12 No. 13 MR. HALLACK: -- like, how long it can last, 14 how long should you apply for it before you 15 16 do it? 17 MR. WISENOR: 18 It just has up to three days. 19 You can display up to five vehicles. It has to be in a 35 mile radius of your location. 20 21 But there's no time period as far as to 22 submit or procedure on that. We just 23 recommend in the seminar to notify the office. Mr. Parnell would be the one to 24 25 review it and make a determination.

1	MR. HALLACK:
2	How often do we have somebody
3	that applies for an offsite
4	MR. WISENOR:
5	I've never had one.
6	MS. BARON:
7	Don's Wholesale does it quite
8	often.
9	MR. WISENOR:
10	Well, it's supposed to be up to
11	four every nine days.
12	MS. BARON:
13	Yes.
14	MR. WISENOR:
15	So, basically, four times a year,
16	you can do it.
17	MS. BARON:
18	I'm trying to find it. I don't
19	remember what the actual
20	MR. WISENOR:
21	783?
22	MR. HALLACK:
23	Where is Don's displaying it at?
24	MS. BARON:
25	Sometimes, they do them at the

ball game. 1 MR. WISENOR: 2 It has to be an event. 3 4 MR. DUPLESSIS: 5 Sports. MR. HALLACK: 6 See, this was a big deal, displaying -- having displays at malls and 8 stuff was a big deal for our recreational 9 10 products dealers, like boat dealers and RV 11 guys and motorcycle guys. So I just thought 12 we need to eliminate it. 13 MR. DUPLESSIS: They have to have a sign with a 14 15 phone number posted. And the best I remember, it's three days every 90 days and 16 17 up to five vehicles. 18 MS. BARON: 19 And it has to be within so many 20 miles of your dealership. 21 MR. DUPLESSIS: 22 Or if you have a franchise 23 agreement, it has to be within the confines 24 of your franchise agreement. 25 MR. HALLACK:

I thought we had eliminated that when we eliminated recreational products, but y'all say that's in the law?

MR. HALLACK:

Also, too, under chapter 44, which starts on page 21, I think at some point -- oh, I'm sorry. Let's go back, 4301 on page 20. It has the license renewal period. Do we need to change that to reflect that it's a two year license now? I think we need to change that to reflect that it's a two year locense now. So we need to change that to reflect the new renewal period. When that part was adopted, our renewals were every year.

MS. BARON:

I found it. It's 784.

MR. HALLACK:

So moving on to page 21, the educational seminar, I think we need to eventually have a discussion on fees.

MS. BARON:

That's it. It's a permit to any dealer in a 90-day period in accordance with the rules and regulations authorizing a

display of up to five vehicles up to 35 miles of the dealer's place of business or a period of up to three days.

MR. HALLACK:

Thank you. I don't think we need anything more specific than that since we only have one dealer in the state that does that.

MS. BARON:

And they're literally probably the only one I've ever done one for.

MR. HALLACK:

Okay. Going back to what I said earlier under chapter 44, educational seminar, I think eventually we need to have a discussion on the fees that we can charge for a seminar. We are authorized by law, by statute, to charge fees for the seminar.

Now, I don't know if y'all have any exact number on how much it costs to put on a seminar, but I think that's something that we need to figure out. And at one time, it was very expensive for the Commission to put on a seminar, because they had to publish a book. I don't think y'all publish a book.

1 MS. BARON:

We have a CD.

MR. HALLACK:

You publish a CD now. But back when it was a book, Kim, I think that was costing us somewhere 80 and \$100,000 to publish the book?

MS. BARON:

It was a lot, yes.

MR. HALLACK:

So I think at some point, we need to consider having at least an expense fee for the --

MS. BARON:

And I attached the statute to the back of the rules, that provision that you noted, 791.

MR. HALLACK:

So do y'all want to have that discussion now or think about it and talk about it at the next meeting or is that something you don't want to do, just absolutely do not want to do it? You're authorized by law to do it. I just think at some point, we need to try to at least

recoup the cost of the seminar.

2 MR. TAYLOR:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Without opening up Pandora's box here, I'd like to expand on that just a little bit and go back to what I think used to happen in the past. And maybe we could move some of these meetings to different parts of the state where our field officers are at, maybe a Shreveport, maybe a Monroe, a Lake Charles, maybe something in that nature, an Alexandria, because it's quite cumbersome if these dealers have to drive to Baton Rouge when there are so many places that we could lease and/or borrow, or auctions that would gladly give up their spaces to help promote their own self to have these courses, you know. I mean, I would think that y'all would, because you're promoting dealers to come to your seminar. I'm speaking for you now. I'm sorry.

MR. PEDERSON:

Sure. That's fine.

MR. HALLACK:

I don't think ethically you can use a licensee's premises.

1 MR. TAYLOR: 2 Okay. Well, like I said, I don't 3 want to open up Pandora's box if there's other -- some other type of facility that 4 5 you house this to make it more convenient for our dealers. 6 7 MS. BARON: When they first started, where 8 9 did they hold them? 10 MR. HALLACK: Manheim Auction in New Orleans I 11 12 know was one place for it. 13 MR. WISENOR: Different auctions. 14 15 MR. POTEET: 16 Well, they did it at my auction 17 once, too, right after we opened, I don't 18 know, in '03. 19 MR. HALLACK: 20 So that's the licensees giving 21 you something for free, which is not ethically --22 23 MR. TAYLOR: 24 And if they don't work at the 25 auction, there's all types of government

buildings around the state that I'm sure we 1 2 can access. 3 MR. POTEET: 4 I think that would be a good 5 idea. 6 MS. BARON: 7 Especially, if you're going to 8 charge --9 MR. CORMIER: Especially, if you're going to 10 11 charge. 12 MS. BARON: 13 Yes. 14 MR. POTEET: 15 If you're going to charge them. 16 MS. BARON: 17 If you're going to charge them. 18 MR. POTEET: I think what we should do is 19 20 table this discussion a little bit and do 21 some research on, one, what it's costing us 22 now, and then what would it cost us just in 23 general to do it in a different location, 24 travel time, et cetera. I think you guys 25 would like to see something like that,

wouldn't you? I mean --1 2 MR. WISENOR: I come once a month. That's not 3 4 too much of a burden. And Stacy Gaudin and 5 the other investigator perform them, she's coming from New Orleans. So it's not too 6 bad for her. MR. POTEET: 8 I mean, it is kind of a burden to 9 have to come from Lake Charles --10 11 MR. WISENOR: 12 But the dealers --13 MR. POTEET: 14 -- or Monroe or Alexandria or 15 something. 16 MR. WISENOR: 17 Well, most dealers have to stay 18 the night when they come. 19 MR. POTEET: 20 It's an all day seminar? 21 MR. WISENOR: 22 Well, no, it's four hours. But 23 the -- one of them starts at 8:30 in the 24 morning. So most of them have the expense 25 of a hotel stay.

MR. POTEET:

I think that's a good suggestion.

MR. PARNELL:

And also my goal is to really start getting a little bit more automated online in the form of webinar type situations, so that they can login. I was just trying to do some research and figure out exactly how can I really know that they're really attending.

MR. TAYLOR:

Those speed trap conferences that I always to, I'll get the name off the bottom.

MR. PARNELL:

Because I don't want it to not necessarily be just once a month where a dealer can go out there on the website and pull it up, because the way we have it written right now, once the dealer gets his license, he has within 60 days to get -- to attend the seminar. But if we had it more open for them online, then they can go out themselves and get the license and go on out there or even before they receive their

license, they can go out there and take the class. But I want to find -- do some research and I'm going to understand a little bit more on how to ensure that those persons are --

MR. POTEET:

Well, we get our email saying we've to do our ethics. I mean, that's how we do our ethics deal.

MR. PARNELL:

Right. And that's why --

MR. POTEET:

Everybody does it on their own time. Everybody does it, you know.

MR. TAYLOR:

Once again, I missed that before. That would cost you 1,000. Don't miss that one.

MR. POTEET:

The question is about how long the whole thing takes place. In our -- again, in the IARA, there's a re-marketing seminar and you can take it in modules and you have to complete all of the modules within a certain period of time. So each

module is one hour and they give them 90 days to complete it. But you could just -you know, you could set it up to say -- you know, break this down into four one-hour modules. I don't have to do it here, but we also -- I work at Southeastern Louisiana University. We had to take one on safety training and sexual harassment and those are an hour long. And all it does is, it makes you -- you can't quit and go back. That's the only problem. You've got an hour. But if you could set it aside -- if you could set it up, so it's in modules, that might be helpful.

MR. WISENOR:

I didn't know that.

MR. HALLACK:

Please keep in mind, the field investigators who put on the seminar, they receive extra pay for that ability.

MR. POTEET:

Well, that's what I'm saying.

MR. HALLACK:

If you eliminate that from them doing it, then that might eliminate --

1	MR. PARNELL:
2	We can't entirely eliminate it.
3	MR. DUPLESSIS:
4	Well, they would still do it off
5	premises. Why would you ask for another 150
6	bucks?
7	MR. HALLACK:
8	I'm just saying, keep that in
9	mind.
10	MR. PARNELL:
11	I didn't want to stop that in its
12	entirety. I just want to give them more
13	options.
14	MR. HALLACK:
15	Yes.
16	MR. PARNELL:
17	I still want them to do it.
18	MR. POTEET:
19	You could do it monthly if you
20	can't make it or if you have something type
21	of you get fined or something.
22	MR. DUPLESSIS:
23	What is it going to cost them to
24	come here? 100 for a hotel room, 50 or 75
25	for meals. Gas is 100 bucks. That's 225.

50 bucks is a bargain.MR. POTEET:

Maybe give them a coupon to get \$50 off at the hotel right up the street.

I don't know that gas is 50.

MR. OLAVE:

Make your webinar and the investigators doing the classes -- we couldn't do an online class where they go -- they would still be doing the class.

MR. HALLACK:

But you're eliminating the class.

You're eliminating it. As a lawyer, if I could attend all mine online, I would do it in a heartbeat. I would never go to a seminar, but I can only do it for four hours online.

MR. POTEET:

Well, we can discuss all that. We can put something together.

MS. MORRIS:

There are several places where you can do, like, a webinar, because I do it for the LMA training. The Bar -- Baton Rouge Bar Association has that capability

and you can contract with them. And the Realtors' Association has that capability that -- and they would let their conference room and let the cameras and everything be used, and so it's live. And the people watching on the Internet, you can interact and ask questions.

MR. OLAVE:

That's how -- the ones I've been involved in, that's how they tell if it's you or not, because the moderator of the class, they'll actually ask you questions, like, hey, Steve, what do you think, or -- you know, because they'll know who's logged in or what-have-you.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Email in the question and answer.

MS. MORRIS:

Well, like, the LMA, you have an option to sign on. I can sign on from my office or I can drive down there and sit in a class if I want. You have that option.

MR. POTEET:

Well, we're going to look into that.

MR. HALLACK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next thing I want to talk about is also on page 21 at the bottom. have several provisions with regard to the hearing procedures. At one time, the Commission used a hearing procedure where it had a hearing committee of three people, but it still had to be approved by full Board. And according to the administrative procedures act, the whole record had to be made available to the whole Board and it was a tragedy. I mean, it was a train wreck. So we did away with the three man hearing committee. So a lot of this is with regard to the three man hearing committee, but also one of the provisions you'll see down at the very bottom of page 21, calls and duties of the hearing officer. We need a hearing officer position. And Kim and Derek fill that position now. But one of the things that it -- it allows the hearing officer to conduct hearings. And that would be just one person. So I don't think we should do I think that should be deleted. We've never done that, ever.

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

MR. POTEET:

2 I agree.

MR. HALLACK:

The other thing, if you look down
-- going to the next page, page 22, it talks
about the hearing chairman. Mr. Poteet has
always been the hearing chairman since -for a long time. Prior to that, I think
Mr. Smith was the hearing chairman for a
long time. And it says, #5, "shall only
vote to resolve a split division." I think
the hearing chairman votes -- has always
voted. So I think we need to eliminate that
provision. He only votes to resolve a
split.

Does everybody agree with that?
MR. POTEET:

Yes.

MR. HALLACK:

Going over on the other columns, it talks about service of notice. #2 is a little confusing. It needs to read that service may be done through the office staff by certified or registered mail to the mailing address that's on the license.

That's not actually provided for there. We need to clear that up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

We also have an order examination. If you go to the next page, page 23, and it provides for the order of witness examination. We've never actually followed this order. As you know -- if you've attended the hearings, you know I ask The other side is then allowed questions. to ask questions. And then the Commissioners ask questions. The way this is actually worded, I ask questions, and then the Commission asks questions, and then the defendant then asks questions. I think it's better for me than the defendant and the Commissioners at the end to ask questions. So all I'm saying is -recommending is that we actually adopt the rule that follows what we do. And that's it.

MR. POTEET:

Nothing too controversial.

MR. HALLACK:

No, except the fees.

MR. POTEET:

Okay. Well, we're not -- we're going to do a study on that and we'll be ready by the next time. Maybe a little bit of -- you know, start the ground work on doing some webinars or some kind of interaction seminars.

All right. Okay. So the next meeting is going to be -- when is the --

MS. BARON:

November 20th.

MR. POTEET:

Oh, that' right. I'm sorry. So any items for the next agenda other than legislative issues, do you guys have anything? Send that in to Derek or Kim. All right. So the next meeting is on the 20th and that will be a -- kind of a -- well, we'll see. It could be a long meeting.

MR. OLAVE:

Are we going to have lunch brought in or something? Could we do that? I've been at some Commission meetings until 5 o'clock and we had no food, so.

Betty D. Glissman, CCR

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I think one -- you know, if you 1 2 could get a number of people here, we may look for another alternative building 3 besides this one. 4 5 MR. POTEET: I don't think it's a bad idea to 6 have one lined up or check into it. we have an idea that we're going to have 20 8 9 or 30 people show up, then we could move. 10 We've got one hearing in November? 11 MR. HALLACK: 12 Two hearings. 13 MS. BARON: 14 Possibly, two. 15 MR. HALLACK: 16 One definitely. 17 MR. POTEET: Okay. All right. So we can work 18 19 on those logistics between now and then. 20 MS. BARON: 21 I can get in touch with the State 22 Archives building. 23 MR. POTEET: 24 And to Mr. Olave's question, 25 we'll look into lunch, too.

1	MR. HALLACK:
2	If we if you have a potential
3	author for the legislation, you might want
4	to invite him to the meeting.
5	MR. POTEET:
6	Yes, that would be a good idea.
7	All right. The next thing is
8	we've got two hearings. Let's take about a
9	five- or six-minute break and go back in
10	here.
11	MR. TAYLOR:
12	Motion.
13	MR. POTEET:
14	Motion to adjourn.
15	All in favor, say, "Aye."
16	(All "Aye" responses.)
17	MR. POTEET:
18	Any against?
19	(No response.)
20	
21	
22	(Meeting adjourned at 11:29 a.m.)
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified Court
Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in and for
the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify
that the Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle
Commission October 16, 2017, meeting was
reported by me in the stenotype reporting
method, was prepared and transcribed by me
or under my personal direction and
supervision, and is a true and correct
transcript to the best of my ability and
understanding.

This October 30, 2017, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR
CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER